

Hepatitis B Birth-Dose Trial in Guinea-Bissau

This table summarizes recurring public claims about the hepatitis B birth-dose trial in Guinea-Bissau and provides factual clarifications based on the published protocol, ethics approvals, and national vaccination policy. Its purpose is to support accurate, evidence-based discussion.

Public / Media Claim	Corrected / Contextualized Statement
“Kennedy Plan to Test a Vaccine in West African Babies”	The study is not politically initiated . It is an investigator-initiated academic trial , developed independently prior to recent U.S. policy debates, and conducted through a long-standing Guinea-Bissau–Denmark research partnership.
The study is U.S.-driven, politically motivated, or influenced by RFK Jr. or vaccine-sceptic funding	The study is investigator-initiated and scientifically independent . The research question predates recent U.S. political developments and builds on long-standing research into the broader health effects of vaccines. Funders have no role in study design, conduct, analysis, interpretation, or publication decisions.
The study tests whether hepatitis B vaccines work	The protective effect against hepatitis B infection is already well established . The trial evaluates overall health outcomes , including broader effects, which have never been tested in a randomized study .
The study is already enrolling infants	The study has not yet started participant enrollment and remains subject to ongoing ethics and regulatory processes.
Researchers are “taking advantage” of Guinea-Bissau’s lower standard of care	The study is conducted in Guinea-Bissau because this is where the intervention will be implemented and where evidence is most relevant . There is a widely recognized global need for more high-quality clinical research conducted in African settings , and this trial helps address that gap through the Bandim Health Project’s nearly 50-year partnership with Guinean institutions, with Guinean researchers in central leadership roles .
The study violates ethics by not offering the global birth-dose standard	There is no universal requirement that clinical trials must provide interventions not yet implemented in national policy . Evaluating realistic, locally relevant policy options is widely considered ethically appropriate. As of recent WHO reporting, only a minority of WHO AFRO countries have implemented a universal hepatitis B birth dose.
Half of infants are “not given the vaccine” until six weeks	No child receives fewer vaccines than under current national policy . All children receive routine vaccines; half receive an additional hepatitis B birth dose they would not otherwise receive. The study will improve the timeliness and coverage of BCG and oral polio vaccination for participants , as vaccines are provided on all weekdays, including holidays, and during occasional national stock-outs.

Public / Media Claim	Corrected / Contextualized Statement
The study puts infants at risk	The study does not reduce any child's access to recommended vaccines or standard care . It operates under approved ethical and safety monitoring frameworks.
Researchers ignored maternal screening	Maternal screening was considered from the outset . It was not included due to scientific, ethical, logistical, and feasibility considerations , including relevance to national policy, counseling requirements at delivery, and potential impact on recruitment and study power.
"One in five people in Guinea-Bissau lives with hepatitis B"	Available data suggest lower prevalence among women (~15% in a decade-old survey) , likely declining due to infant vaccination and blood-screening programs. Not all infected mothers transmit infection to their infant, and vertical transmission is not the dominant route in Guinea-Bissau.
Media coverage implies very high infant risk from delayed birth dose	While prevention is important, the magnitude of vertical-transmission risk is overstated in public reporting. This does not negate prevention benefits , but it affects the scale of risk being portrayed .
The study is primarily conducted by Danish researchers	The trial is conducted through the Bandim Health Project , with Guinean scientists playing central roles in design, implementation, analysis, and dissemination.
The study did not receive proper ethics approval	The study received ethics approval from Guinea-Bissau's National Ethics Committee on November 5, 2025 (approval number 036-CNES-INASA-2025). Under Danish regulations, ethics committees in Denmark do not review protocols for studies conducted entirely outside Denmark .
The trial repeats unethical HIV placebo trials	The comparison is not equivalent . Unlike those trials, no child in this study receives less care than the national standard , and some receive additional protection .
The study reflects disregard for African lives	The study's purpose is the opposite: to strengthen evidence for health policies affecting African children , based on locally generated data, long-term partnership, and a commitment to research equity in global health .